



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

M-D

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/725,302	12/01/2003	David L. Powell	136089/90 (MHM 15129US01)	2838
23446 7590 11/28/2007 MCANDREWS HELD & MALLOY, LTD 500 WEST MADISON STREET SUITE 3400 CHICAGO, IL 60661			EXAMINER CORBETT, JOHN M	
			ART UNIT 2882	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 11/28/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/725,302	Applicant(s) POWELL, DAVID L.	
	Examiner John M. Corbett	Art Unit 2882	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 October 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 01 December 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10 October 2007 has been entered.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 11-18 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 11, line 8 "said medical imaging device, said auxiliary module." is incomplete; perhaps --said medical imaging device.-- was meant.

Claims 12-18 are objected to by virtue of their dependency.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2882

3. Claims 1-10 and 19-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With respect to claims 1-2, 4, 19 and 25-26, the term "chilled" is a relative term, which renders the claims indefinite. The term "chilled" is not defined by the claims, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. The Applicant has failed to disclose in their specification a temperature to which a cooling unit needs to cool the liquid in order for it to be considered a "chilled" liquid. For examination purposes, the Examiner takes the position that the "chilled" liquid is one in which the liquid is cooled to a temperature below that of the element to which it is intended to cool. Claims 3, 5-10, 20-24 and 27-29 are rejected by virtue of their dependency.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1, 6-7 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Innovative Technology Summary Report (ITSR) in view of Baertsch et al. (20020181654), Burke et al. (5,299,249) and Dilick (20010017908).

With respect to claim 1, ITSR teaches a system, comprising:

an imaging device (Page 2, lines 41-43 and Figures 2 and 14) having a main body (Page 4, lines 6-7 and Figure 1) and an imaging element (Page 2, line 42 and Figure 2); and

an auxiliary module (Page 6, line 13 and Figures 5 and 9) connected to said imaging device (Page 7, lines 2-3 and Figure 7) have a cooling unit configured to cool liquid (Page 8, lines 17-18 and Figure 9, i.e. Haskris water-to-air cooler) and circulate the liquid to said imaging element (Page 8, lines 18-20), wherein the liquid absorbs heat produced by said imaging element (Page 8, lines 18-21).

ITSR fails to teach medical imaging. ITSR fails to explicitly teach configuring to cool liquid to a chilled state and circulating the liquid to and from said imaging element. ITSR fails to explicitly teach removably connecting to said imaging device through a connector that allows said auxiliary module to be disconnected from, and reconnected to, said medical imaging device, said auxiliary module.

Baertsch et al. teaches medical imaging (Paragraph 4 and Figure 1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of ITSR to include the medical imaging of Baertsch et al., since imaging for medical and industrial applications are two art recognized equivalents (Paragraph 4) as shown by Baertsch et al. and require no more than ordinary skill in the art to substitute. One of

Art Unit: 2882

ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a modification to obtain more information to better diagnosis a patient's medical condition (Paragraph 4) as implied by Baertsch et al.

Burke et al. teaches configuring to cool liquid to a chilled state (Col. 4, line 67 - Col. 5, line 2) and circulating the liquid to (Col. 5, line 2) and from (Col. 4, lines 67-68) said imaging element.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include in the system of ITSR as modified above the chilled liquid and circulation of Burke et al., since a person would have been motivated to make such a modification to remove more heat (Col. 3, lines 16-17) for enabling higher power systems as implied by Burke et al. and to reduce waste.

Dilick teaches removably connecting to said imaging device through a connector that allows said auxiliary module to be disconnected from, and reconnected to, said medical imaging device, said auxiliary module (Paragraph 60 and Figures 5 and 10).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include in the system of ITSR as modified above the connector of Dilick, since a person would have been motivated to make such a modification to more easily perform preventive maintenance on the imaging element (Paragraph 17 and Figure 5) as implied by Dilick.

With respect to claim 6, ITSR further teaches wherein said auxiliary module is mobile (Title and Figure 5).

With respect to claim 7, ITSR as modified above suggests the system as recited above. ITSR as modified above fails to explicitly teach permanently affixing to one of a floor and a wall.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include in the system of ITSR as modified above permanent affixation, since permanent affixation and mobility are well known art recognized equivalents for supporting equipment and require no more than ordinary skill in the art to substitute. A person would have been motivated to make the system of ITSR permanently affixed to the floor to increase the stability of the system and reduce the likelihood of inadvertently uncoupling the components by moving the components relative to one another during imaging.

With respect to claim 9, ITSR further teaches wherein said auxiliary module is remotely located from said medical imaging device (Page 8, lines 20-24).

With respect to claim 10, ITSR further teaches wherein said auxiliary module includes a rolling cart that supports said cooling unit (Page 8, line 23 and Figure 9).

5. Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ITSR, Baertsch et al., Burke et al. and Dilick as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Klostermann (5,185,774).

Art Unit: 2882

With respect to claim 2, ITSR as modified above suggests the system as recited above. ITSR further teaches wherein said imaging element includes an X-ray tube (Page 8, lines 18-21). ITSR as modified above fails to explicitly teach wherein said cooling unit circulates the chilled liquid at least one of over and within said imaging element.

Klostermann teaches wherein said cooling unit circulates the chilled liquid within said imaging element (Col. 9, line 51 - Col. 10, line 4 and Figure 19).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include in the system of ITSR as modified above the circulating within of Klostermann, since a person would have been motivated to make such a modification to increase power capacities (Col. 1, lines 14-16 and Col. 10, lines 14-17) as taught by Klostermann.

With respect to claim 3, ITSR further teaches a C-arm supported by said main body, wherein said x-ray tube is positioned on an end of said C-arm (Figures 1 and 2).

With respect to claim 4, ITSR as modified above suggests the system as recited above.

Burke et al. further teaches a fluid input line in fluid communication with said cooling unit and said fluid inlet, wherein the chilled liquid is supplied from said cooling unit through said fluid input line (Col. 5, lines 2-3, lines 7-8, and Figure 1); and

a fluid return line in fluid communication with said cooling unit and said fluid outlet, wherein the chilled liquid is returned to said cooling unit through said fluid return line (Col. 4, line 67 – Col. 5, line 1, Col. 5, line 8, and Figure 1).

ITSR as modified above fails to explicitly teach a cooling duct surrounding at least a portion of said imaging element, said cooling duct having a fluid inlet and a fluid outlet.

Klostermann teaches a cooling duct (316) surrounding at least a portion of said imaging element (Figures 2 and 19), said cooling duct having a fluid inlet (319) and a fluid outlet (321).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include in the system of ITSR as modified above the duct and fluid inlet and outlet of Klostermann, since a person would have been motivated to make such a modification to increase power capacities (Col. 1, lines 14-16 and Col. 10, lines 14-17) as taught by Klostermann.

With respect to claim 5, Dilick further teaches removably connecting to said imaging element (Paragraph 60 and Figures 5 and 10).

Note: Removably connected means “capable of being connected and subsequently reconnected” which is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense.

6. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ITSR, Baertsch et al., Burke et al. and Dilick as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Yahata et al. (5,226,064).

With respect to claim 8, ITSR as modified above suggests the system as recited above. ITSR as modified above fails to teach a booster battery pack, wherein said booster battery pack

Art Unit: 2882

is configured to be electrically connected to said medical imaging device in order to provide additional power to said medical imaging device.

Yahata et al. teaches a booster battery pack (5), wherein said booster battery pack is configured to be electrically connected to said medical imaging device (Figures 2 and 3) in order to provide additional power to said medical imaging device (Col. 4, lines 58-62).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include in the system of ITSR as modified above the booster battery pack of Yahata et al., since a person would have been motivated to make such a modification to reduce the demands on a commercial power source thereby reducing overall operating expenses of the system (Col. 1, lines 41-48 and Col. 5, lines 1-11) as taught by Yahata et al.

7. Claim 11-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ITSR in view of Baertsch et al., Yahata et al. and Muchowicz et al. (5,310,361).

With respect to claim 11, ITSR teaches a system, comprising:

an imaging device (Page 2, lines 41-43 and Figures 2 and 14) having a main body (Page 4, lines 6-7 and Figure 1) and an imaging element (Page 2, line 42 and Figure 2); and

an auxiliary module (Page 6, line 13 and Figures 5 and 9) connected to said imaging device (Page 7, lines 2-3 and Figure 7), wherein said auxiliary module is separate, distinct, and connected directly to said imaging device (Page 8, lines 13-24 and Figure 9).

ITSR fails to teach medical imaging; and

a booster battery pack, wherein said booster battery pack is configured to be electrically connected to said medical imaging device in order to provide additional power to said medical imaging device through a connector that allows said auxiliary module to be disconnected from, and reconnected to, said medical imaging device through a connector that allows said auxiliary module to be disconnected from, and reconnected to, said medical imaging device.

ITSR further fails to explicitly teach removably connecting to said imaging device.

Baertsch et al. teaches medical imaging (Paragraph 4 and Figure 1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of ITSR to include the medical imaging of Baertsch et al., since imaging for medical and industrial applications are two art recognized equivalents (Paragraph 4) as shown by Baertsch et al. and require no more than ordinary skill in the art to substitute. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a modification to obtain more information to better diagnosis a patient's medical condition (Paragraph 4) as implied by Baertsch et al.

Yahata et al. teaches a booster battery pack (5), wherein said booster battery pack is configured to be electrically connected to said medical imaging device (Figures 2 and 3) in order to provide additional power to the medical imaging device (Col. 4, lines 58-62).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include in the system of ITSR as modified above the booster battery pack of Yahata et al., since a person would have been motivated to make such a modification to reduce the demands on a commercial power source thereby reducing overall operating expenses of the system (Col. 1, lines 41-48 and Col. 5, lines 1-11) as taught by Yahata et al.

Muchowicz et al. teaches removably connecting to said imaging device through a connector that allows said auxiliary module to be disconnected from, and reconnected to, said medical imaging device through a connector that allows said auxiliary module to be disconnected from, and reconnected to, said medical imaging device (Col. 2, lines 48-52).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include in the system of ITSR as modified above the connector of Muchowicz et al., since a person would have been motivated to make such a modification to more easily repair or replace a power cable (Col. 4, lines 5-7) as implied by Muchowicz et al.

With respect to claim 12, ITSR further teaches wherein said imaging device is an x-ray system (Title) and said imaging element includes an x-ray tube (Figure 5).

With respect to claim 13, ITSR further teaches a C-arm supported by said main body, wherein said x-ray tube is positioned on an end of said C-arm (Figures 1 and 2).

With respect to claim 14, ITSR further teaches wherein said auxiliary module is mobile (Title and Figure 5).

With respect to claim 15, ITSR as modified above suggests the system as recited above. ITSR as modified above fails to explicitly teach permanently affixing to one of a floor and a wall.

Art Unit: 2882

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include in the system of ITSR as modified above permanent affixation, since permanent affixation and mobility are well known art recognized equivalents for supporting equipment and require no more than ordinary skill in the art to substitute. A person would have been motivated to make the system of ITSR permanently affixed to the floor to increase the stability of the system and reduce the likelihood of inadvertently uncoupling the components by moving the components relative to one another during imaging.

With respect to claim 16, ITSR further teaches wherein said auxiliary module is remotely located from said main body (Page 8, lines 20-24).

With respect to claim 17, ITSR further teaches wherein said auxiliary module includes a rolling cart that supports (Page 8, line 23 and Figure 9).

8. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ITSR in view of Baertsch et al., Yahata et al. and Muchowicz et al. as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of Anderton (Re 35,025).

With respect to claim 18, ITSR as modified above suggests the system as recited above. ITSR further teaches wherein said auxiliary module further comprises a power cable electrically connected (Page 8, lines 20-24 and Figure 5). Muchowicz et al. further teaches wherein said power cable (14) is removably connected (Col. 2, lines 48-52) to a body.

ITSR as modified above fails to teach wherein a main body further comprises a power receptacle electrically connected to a power supply system, wherein said power cable is removably connected to said power receptacle so that the power supply system draws power.

Anderton teaches wherein a main body further comprises a power receptacle (17) electrically connected to a power supply system (Figure 3), wherein said power cable is removably connected to said power receptacle so that the power supply system draws power (Figure 2, interconnect cable).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include in the system of ITSR as modified above the power receptacle of Anderton, since a person would have been motivated to make such a modification to improve mobility of the imaging device by disconnecting the power cable during transport and subsequently reconnecting once the imaging device is positioned (Col. 2, lines 68 - Col. 3, line 4) as implied by Anderton.

9. Claims 19-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ITSR in view of Baertsch et al., Burke et al., Yahata et al., Dilick and Muchowicz et al.

With respect to claim 19, ITSR teaches an apparatus comprising:

a cooling unit (Page 8, lines 17-18 and Figure 9, i.e. Haskris water-to-air cooler) configured to cool liquid and circulate liquid to the imaging element (Page 8, lines 18-20), wherein the liquid absorbs heat produced by the imaging element (Page 8, lines 18-20).

ITSR fails to explicitly teach configuring to cool liquid to a chilled state and circulating the liquid to and from said imaging element. ITSR also fails to explicitly teach connectors that allows the auxiliary module to be disconnected from, and reconnected to, the medical imaging device.

ITSR fails to teach medical imaging; and

a booster battery pack, wherein said booster battery pack is configured to be electrically connected to the medical imaging system in order to provide additional power to the medical imaging system.

Baertsch et al. teaches medical imaging (Paragraph 4 and Figure 1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of ITSR to include the medical imaging of Baertsch et al., since imaging for medical and industrial applications are two art recognized equivalents (Paragraph 4) as shown by Baertsch et al. and require no more than ordinary skill in the art to substitute. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a modification to obtain more information to better diagnosis a patient's medical condition (Paragraph 4) as implied by Baertsch et al.

Burke et al. teaches configuring to cool liquid to a chilled state (Col. 4, line 67 - Col. 5, line 2) and circulating the liquid to (Col. 5, line 2) and from (Col. 4, lines 67-68) said imaging element.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include in the system of ITSR as modified above the chilled liquid and circulation of Burke et al., since a person would have been motivated to make such a modification to

Art Unit: 2882

remove more heat (Col. 3, lines 16-17) for enabling higher power systems as implied by Burke et al. and to reduce waste.

Yahata et al. teaches a booster battery pack (5), wherein said booster battery pack is configured to be electrically connected to said medical imaging device (Figure 3) in order to provide additional power to said medical imaging device (Col. 4, lines 58-62).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include in the system of ITSR as modified above the booster battery pack of Yahata et al., since a person would have been motivated to make such a modification to reduce the demands on a commercial power source thereby reducing overall operating expenses of the system (Col. 1, lines 41-48 and Col. 5, lines 1-11) as taught by Yahata et al.

Dilick teaches a connector (cooling) that allows the auxiliary module (cooling portion) to be disconnected from, and reconnected to, the medical imaging device (Paragraph 60 and Figures 5 and 10).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include in the system of ITSR as modified above the connector of Dilick, since a person would have been motivated to make such a modification to more easily perform preventive maintenance on the imaging element (Paragraph 17 and Figure 5) as implied by Dilick.

Muchowicz et al. teaches a connector (power) that allows the auxiliary module (cooling portion) to be disconnected from, and reconnected to, the medical imaging device (Col. 2, lines 48-52).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include in the system of ITSR as modified above the connector of Muchowicz et al., since a person would have been motivated to make such a modification to more easily repair or replace a power cable (Col. 4, lines 5-7) as implied by Muchowicz et al.

With respect to claim 20, ITSR further teaches wherein the imaging element includes an x-ray tube (Figure 2) and the imaging device is an x-ray imaging system (Figure 14).

With respect to claim 21, ITSR further teaches wherein the x-ray imaging system includes a C-arm having a first and second prong (Figure 6), wherein the x-ray tube is positioned on the first prong, and a detector is positioned on the second prong (Page 7, lines 1-8 and Figures 1 and 6).

With respect to claim 22, ITSR further teaches a cart supporting said power and said cooling unit (Page 8, lines 13-14 and Figure 9), and wherein said cart is mobile (Title).

With respect to claim 23, ITSR as modified above suggests the system as recited above. ITSR as modified above fails to explicitly teach permanently affixing to one of a floor and a wall.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include in the system of ITSR as modified above permanent affixation, since permanent affixation and mobility are well known art recognized equivalents for supporting

Art Unit: 2882

equipment and require no more than ordinary skill in the art to substitute. A person would have been motivated to make the system of ITSR permanently affixed to the floor to increase the stability of the system and reduce the likelihood of inadvertently uncoupling the components by moving the components relative to one another during imaging.

With respect to claim 24, ITSR further teaches wherein the auxiliary module is separate and distinct from the imaging device (Figures 5 and 9).

10. Claims 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ITSR in view of Burke et al., Klostermann and Dilick.

With respect to claim 25, ITSR teaches a method of cooling the x-ray tube comprising: operatively connecting (Page 8, lines 18-24) an auxiliary module having a cooling unit (Page 8, lines 17-18 and Figure 9, i.e. Haskris water-to-air cooler) to the mobile x-ray device (Title, Page 4, lines 6-7 and Figure 1);

cooling liquid (Page 8, lines 17-18) with the cooling unit (Page 6, lines 17-18); and passing the liquid from the cooling unit to the x-ray tube (Page 7, line 2, Page 8, lines 17-24 and Figure 5).

ITSR fails to explicitly teach producing chilled liquid and circulating the liquid around at least a portion of the x-ray tube such that the chilled liquid absorbs heat produced by the x-ray tube during an x-ray imaging procedure. ITSR also fails to explicitly teach connecting in a

Art Unit: 2882

removable fashion through a connector that allows the auxiliary module to be disconnected from, and reconnected to, the device.

Burke et al. teaches producing chilled liquid (Col. 4, line 68 - Col. 5, line 2).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include in the method of ITSR as modified above the chilled liquid of Burke et al., since a person would have been motivated to make such a modification to remove more heat (Col. 3, lines 16-17) for enabling higher power systems as implied by Burke et al.

Klostermann teaches circulating the chilled liquid around at least a portion of the x-ray tube such that the chilled liquid absorbs heat produced by the x-ray tube during an x-ray imaging procedure (Col. 9, line 51 - Col. 10, line 4 and Figure 19).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include in the method of ITSR as modified above the circulating within of Klostermann, since a person would have been motivated to make such a modification to increase power capacities (Col. 1, lines 14-16 and Col. 10, lines 14-17) as taught by Klostermann.

Dilick teaches connecting in a removable fashion through a connector that allows the auxiliary module to be disconnected from, and reconnected to, the device (Paragraph 60 and Figures 5 and 10).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include in the method of ITSR as modified above the connector of Dilick, since a person would have been motivated to make such a modification to more easily perform preventive maintenance on the imaging element (Paragraph 17 and Figure 5) as implied by Dilick.

With respect to claim 26, Klostermann further teaches providing a cooling duct (316) around at least a portion of the x-ray tube (Figure 19), wherein said passing includes passing the chilled liquid (Col. 10, lines 1-2) from the cooling unit to the x-ray tube (Col. 10, lines 2-4) through a first tube that is in fluid communication with the cooling unit and the cooling duct (Col. 9, lines 62-66); and

returning the chilled liquid back to the cooling unit through a second tube that is in fluid communication with the cooling unit and the cooling duct (Col. 9, line 66 – Col. 10, line 4).

With respect to claim 27, ITSR as modified above suggests the method as recited above. ITSR as modified above fails to explicitly teach permanently affixing to one of a floor and a wall.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include in the method of ITSR as modified above permanent affixation, since permanent affixation and mobility are well known art recognized equivalents for supporting equipment and require no more than ordinary skill in the art to substitute. A person would have been motivated to make such a modification to increase the stability of the system and reduce the likelihood of inadvertently uncoupling the components by moving the components relative to one another during imaging.

With respect to claim 28, ITSR further teaches remotely locating the auxiliary module from the x-ray device (Page 8, lines 20-24 and Figures 1, 5 and 9).

11. Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ITSR in view of Burke et al., Klostermann and Dilick as applied to claim 25 above, and further in view of Yahata et al.

With respect to claim 29, ITSR as modified above suggests the method as recited above. ITSR as modified above fails to teach

providing a booster battery pack; and
electrically connecting the booster battery pack to the x-ray device so that the x-ray device draws power from the booster battery pack.

Yahata et al. teaches providing a booster battery pack (5); and
electrically connecting the booster battery pack to the x-ray device (Figure 3) so that the x-ray device draws power from the booster battery pack (Col. 4, lines 58-62).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include in the method of ITSR as modified above the booster battery pack of Yahata et al., since a person would have been motivated to make such a modification to reduce the demands on a commercial power source thereby reducing overall operating expenses of the system (Col. 1, lines 41-48 and Col. 5, lines 1-11) as taught by Yahata et al.

Conclusion

12. This is Continued Examination of applicant's earlier Application No. 10/725,302. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been

Art Unit: 2882

finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John M. Corbett whose telephone number is (571) 272-8284. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward J. Glick can be reached on (571) 272-2490. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 2882

system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

26 November 2007 JMC



EDWARD J. GLICK
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER